Heating the dark matter halo with dark radiation from supernovae

Stefan Vogl

based on arXiv:2411.18052 with Xun-Jie Xu (IHEP, Beijing)

universitätfreiburg

S. Vogl (University of Freiburg)

SUPRISE 2025, Madrid 1

SNII as laboratory for new physics

- high density and temperature in core makes SN potentially efficient producers of light, very weakly coupled new physics, e.g. axions, dark photons, sterile neutrinos ...
- dynamics in core shielded by mantle \rightarrow access to core via neutrinos from SN 1987A
- few events but roughly consistent with expected cooling of proto-neutron star
- limits often based on cooling argument (Raffelt criterion)

Can we do better?

neutrino observations: wait for next galactic SN

 \Rightarrow Need other observables if we want to do more now.

produced particle can escape and decay/convert to SM
 search for e.g gamma rays

see e.g talks by Francesca, Jorge, Eike

 \rightarrow search for interactions with detectors at earth

see e.g talks by Andres, David

What happens if the energy goes to the dark sector? Can SN energy injection affect DM observables at a detectable level?

Dark Matter Halo

NFW profile



 N-body simulations predict a universal shape of dark matter halos: NFW profile

$$\rho_{NFW} = \frac{\rho_0 r_s^3}{r(r+r_s)^2}$$

ρ proportional 1/*r* at small *r*, inner slope α = -1 (dark matter cusp)

Density profiles of dwarfs spheroidals



photo for Fornax dwarf galaxy

- velocity distribution of stars traces gravitation potential, i.e. mass profile
- overall mass dominated by dark matter
- can determine density profile from stellar kinematics

Dark matter cores



Oh et al 2015, 1502.01281

> some observations prefer slopes $\alpha = 0$, i.e DM cores

S. Vogl (University of Freiburg)

SUPRISE 2025, Madrid 7

Cored profile



• flat inner profile ($\alpha = 0$), size controlled by core radius r_c

► can form due to baryon feedback or non standard dark matter properties (→ self-interacting dark matter, fuzzy dark matter ...)

Simulations with baryon feedback



Baryon feedback can transform an originally NFW cusp into a core

Read et al '15, 1508.04143

Dwarf spheriodal halo profiles



input data from Read et al '18, 1808.06634

Gravitational binding energy

- ► cored halos have more material at larger radius ⇒ less gravitational binding energy
- need additional energy to transform a cuspy to a cored halo
- ΔE is a function of r_c
- from gravitational binding energy and virial theorem

$$\Delta E = 8\pi G \int dr \, r \left[M_c(r) \rho_c(r) - M_{NFW}(r) \rho_{NFW}(r) \right]$$

• taking upper limit on $r_c \rightarrow \Delta E_{max} \sim 10^{51}$ to 10^{54} erg

SN as energy sources

- type II (core collapse) supernova typically release about 3 × 10⁵³ erg of which only about 1% goes into visible explosion
- All stars with 8m_☉ ≤ m_∗ ≤ 40m_☉ explode on time scales much less than age of galaxy
 ⇒ only need to know fraction of stars in this mass range and overall stellar mass
- stellar mass: measured
- ▶ mass distribution of stars: assume Kroupa initial mass mass function, $\approx 3 \times 10^{-3}$ stars in right mass range

$$E_{tot}pprox$$
 2.5 $rac{M_*}{m_\odot} imes$ 10⁵¹ erg with $M_*\sim$ 10⁶ m_\odot

Allowed energy fractions



 10^{-5} of energy released by SN sufficient to produce cores in excess of observations

Particle physics

Key questions

- Can we produce enough exotic particle?
- Can they travel astrophysical distances?
- Can they deposit their energy in the dark matter halo?

Benchmark models

Consider a set of simple, well motivated benchmark models for production in SN

- dark photon
- dark Higgs
- \blacktriangleright Z' from B L
- \blacktriangleright Z' from $L_{\mu} L_{\tau}$

and couple all of them to dark matter

For illustration: focus on dark photon now

Production in SN

- dark photons couples to proton and electron
- production in SN dominated by
 - ▶ nucleon bremsstrahlung: $p + n \rightarrow p + n + Z'$
 - semi-Compton scattering: γ + e[−] → Z' + e[−]
- significant (O(1)) energy fraction is possible, compare SN1987A bound

Lifetime

- dark photons are not stable
- typical distance to decay I = γβ/Γ ⇒ Can particle travel astrophysical distances of O(kpc)?
- direct couplings to electrons but not neutrinos or photons
 - For 2m_e ≤ m_{Z'}: relatively quick decays to e⁺e[−]
 - for 2m_e > m_{Z'}: fairly slow, loop induced to decay to 3γ
- For 2m_{\chi} < m_{Z'} decay to DM possible instantaneous decay to dark matter for relevant couplings g_{\chi} with BR=100%
- \Rightarrow split in parameter space between these options

Optical depth

 Z' (or DM from its) decay must scatter on halo DM to transfer energy

 \blacktriangleright probability of scattering controlled by optical depth τ

$$au pprox rac{\sigma}{m_{\chi}} \int
ho dl$$

• for density profiles of cored halos $\tau \ge 1$ for

$$\sigma\gtrsim(\mathsf{1}-\mathsf{2}) imes\mathsf{10}^{-25}\mathsf{cm}^2\cdotrac{m_\chi}{\mathsf{MeV}}$$

largish cross section: need $m_{DM} \lesssim$ 100 MeV and $g_{DM} \gtrsim$ 0.01 - 1

Putting everything together



Testable parameter space: Dark Photon

Conclusions

- total energy release from SN explosions over lifetime of galaxy is huge
- ▶ for $\mathcal{O}(1)$ energy absorbed dwarf galaxies sensitive to $\approx 10^{-5}$ of total energy release
- ► conditions for sufficient energy release and efficient absorption possible in a range of simple benckmark models (dark photon, dark Higgs, B L, $L_{\mu} L_{\tau}$)
- halo shape allows testing couplings well beyond usual SN1987a bound, two orders of magnitude improvements possible

Backup material

Testable parameter space: Dark Higgs



Even more space for dark Higgs

Testable parameter space: $U(1)_{B-L}$



Testable parameter space: $U(1)_{L_{\mu}-L_{\tau}}$



Limits on *g*_{DM}



energy loss via scattering on halo dark matter

- ▶ Z' stable: Z' DM \rightarrow Z' DM scattering (Compton-like cross section)
- Z' decays to DM : DM DM → DM DM scattering (Bhabha/Moeller-like scattering cross section)

for $\tau \geq$ 1: $m_{DM} \lesssim$ 100 MeV and sizeable g_{χ}