Spacetime as a Quantum Circuit?

Mario Flory





Madrid

22.05.2023

Based on 2101.01185 and 2203.08842

The Team:

Spacetime as a quantum circuit

A. Ramesh Chandra,^{*a*} Jan de Boer,^{*a*} Mario Flory,^{*b,c*} Michal P. Heller,^{*d*,1} Sergio Hörtner,^{*a*} and Andrew Rolph^{*a*}

^a Institute for Theoretical Physics University of Amsterdam PO Box 91185 1090 CL Amsterdam

Cost of holographic path integrals

A. Ramesh Chandra,^{*a*} Jan de Boer,^{*a*} Mario Flory,^{*b*} Michal P. Heller,^{*c*} Sergio Hörtner,^{*d*} and Andrew Rolph^{*a*}

a Institute for Theoretical Physics University of Amsterdam

See also talk by Andrew later! \mathbf{Q}

Overview

► Introduction

 \blacktriangleright A first example

▶ Kinematic space

▶ Generic flow equations











Introduction

Introduction

• One important approach to complexity is *path integral optimization*



- ► Idea: Compute action between boundary cutoff and bulk surface *Q*, extremise w.r.t. scale factor of metric of *Q*.
- ▶ Add tension term T to action on $Q \rightarrow$ plays role of emergent time.
- ► This provides *finite cutoff corrections* to Liouville approach beyond $\partial \phi \ll e^{\phi}$.
- ► Further work in Boruch et al. Caputa et al. Camargo et al. 2022

► Around the same time, we were considering a similar setup, leading up to [^{Chandra et al.}].

Basic idea

- Consider a subregion M of Euclidean Poincaré AdS₃.
- Introduce two time-slices $t = t_{i/f}$ corresponding to the ground states $|0\rangle_{z_{i/f}}$ at different values of the radial cutoff.
- The radial boundary is at finite cutoff, $z = \rho(t)$.
- ▶ Proposal: Complexity of the circuit that maps between $|0\rangle_{z_{i/f}}$ is given by the gravitational action on M.



A first example

Simple example - setup

We consider Euclidean AdS, with the curvature scale $l_{AdS} = 1$:

$$ds^{2} = \frac{dz^{2} + dt^{2} + dx^{2}}{z^{2}}.$$
 (1)

Bulk action:

$$I = \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_{M} d^{3}x \sqrt{G} \left(\mathcal{R} + 2\right) + \frac{2}{\kappa} \int_{\partial M} d^{2}x \sqrt{g} K + I_{c}.$$
 (2)

- *M* is the bulk region bounded by $\rho(t) \leq z \leq \infty$ and $t_i \leq t \leq t_f$
- ► Bulk term
- ► Surface terms

• Joint terms
$$I_c = \frac{2}{\kappa} \int dx \sqrt{j} \alpha \begin{bmatrix} \text{Hartle and Sorkin} \\ 1981 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \text{Hayward} \\ 1993 \end{bmatrix}$$

Simple example - boundary surface

We investigate the bulk region Mbounded by $\rho(t) \leq z \leq \infty$ and $t_i \leq t \leq t_f$.



The induced line element on the boundary surface is

$$ds^{2} = \frac{(1+\dot{\rho}^{2})dt^{2} + dx^{2}}{\rho^{2}},$$
(3)

hence

$$R = \frac{2(\rho\ddot{\rho} - \dot{\rho}^2(1 + \dot{\rho}^2))}{(1 + \dot{\rho}^2)^2},$$
(4)

$$K = \frac{\rho \ddot{\rho} + 2(1 + \dot{\rho}^2)}{(1 + \dot{\rho}^2)^{3/2}}.$$
(5)

Simple example - action

We obtain

$$I = \frac{-4}{\kappa} \int_{M} d^{2}x \int_{z=\rho}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{z^{3}} + \frac{2}{\kappa} \int_{\partial M} d^{2}x \frac{\rho \ddot{\rho} + 2(1+\dot{\rho}^{2})}{\rho^{2}(1+\dot{\rho}^{2})} + I_{c}[\rho]$$
$$= \frac{2V_{x}}{\kappa} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{f}} dt \frac{\rho \ddot{\rho} + (1+\dot{\rho}^{2})}{\rho^{2}(1+\dot{\rho}^{2})} + I_{c}[\rho]$$
(6)

for the on-shell bulk action $(V_x = \int dx)$. For the corner term, we also find

$$I_c = \frac{2V_x}{\kappa} \left(\frac{\pi/2 - \arctan \dot{\rho}(t_f)}{z_f} + \frac{\pi/2 + \arctan \dot{\rho}(t_i)}{z_i} \right).$$
(7)

Integrating by parts, this action can be written only using first derivatives of ρ , yielding

$$I = \frac{2V_x}{\kappa} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left(\frac{1}{\rho^2} + \frac{\dot{\rho} \arctan \dot{\rho}}{\rho^2} \right) + \frac{\pi V_x}{\kappa} \left(\frac{1}{z_f} + \frac{1}{z_i} \right).$$
(8)

Simple example - action

We obtain

$$I = \frac{-4}{\kappa} \int_{M} d^{2}x \int_{z=\rho}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{z^{3}} + \frac{2}{\kappa} \int_{\partial M} d^{2}x \frac{\rho \ddot{\rho} + 2(1+\dot{\rho}^{2})}{\rho^{2}(1+\dot{\rho}^{2})} + I_{c}[\rho]$$
$$= \frac{2V_{x}}{\kappa} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{f}} dt \frac{\rho \ddot{\rho} + (1+\dot{\rho}^{2})}{\rho^{2}(1+\dot{\rho}^{2})} + I_{c}[\rho]$$
(6)

for the on-shell bulk action $(V_x = \int dx)$. For the corner term, we also find

$$I_c = \frac{2V_x}{\kappa} \left(\frac{\pi/2 - \arctan \dot{\rho}(t_f)}{z_f} + \frac{\pi/2 + \arctan \dot{\rho}(t_i)}{z_i} \right).$$
(7)

Integrating by parts, this action can be written only using first derivatives of ρ , yielding

$$I = \frac{2V_x}{\kappa} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \quad \left(\frac{1}{\rho^2} + \frac{\dot{\rho}\arctan\dot{\rho}}{\rho^2}\right) \quad + \frac{\pi V_x}{\kappa} \left(\frac{1}{z_f} + \frac{1}{z_i}\right). \tag{8}$$

Simple example - eoms

The equations of motion obtained by extremizing (8) read

$$\frac{\rho\ddot{\rho} + (1+\dot{\rho}^2)}{\rho^3(1+\dot{\rho}^2)^2} = 0.$$
(9)

The generic solution to (30) reads

$$\rho(t) = \sqrt{\Re^2 - (t - t_0)^2}$$
(10)

and describes semi-circular arcs of radius \Re . The equal time slice $\dot{\rho} \to \infty$ corresponds to the limit of infinite radius.

Simple example - on-shell action

With boundary being $\rho(t_f) = z_f$ and $\rho(t_i) = z_i$, the value of the Euclidean action in the first term of (8) is

$$I = \frac{2V_x}{\kappa} \left(\frac{1}{z_f} \arctan \frac{z_i^2 - z_f^2 + \Delta t^2}{2z_f \Delta t} - \frac{1}{z_i} \arctan \frac{z_i^2 - z_f^2 - \Delta t^2}{2z_i \Delta t} \right).$$
(11)

Now extremising w.r.t. Δt (keeping $z_i \neq z_f$ fixed) gives $\Delta t = 0$, i.e. $\Re \to \infty$, and

$$I_{min} = \frac{c\pi V_x}{24} \left(\frac{1}{z_f} - \frac{1}{z_i}\right). \tag{12}$$

 \rightarrow We recover the complexity=volume proposal?!

Simple example - comparison to Liouville

For $\dot{\rho} \ll 1$,

$$I = \frac{2V_x}{\kappa} \int_{t_i}^{t_f} dt \left(\frac{1}{\rho^2} + \frac{\dot{\rho} \arctan \dot{\rho}}{\rho^2} \right) \approx \frac{2V_x}{\kappa} \int dt \left(\frac{1}{\rho^2} + \frac{\dot{\rho}^2}{\rho^2} \right) , \quad (13)$$

which, assuming no x-dependence, is equivalent to the Liouville Lagrangian

$$S_L = \frac{c}{24\pi} \int dt \int dx \left(\eta \, e^{2\omega} + (\partial_t \omega)^2 + (\partial_x \omega)^2 \right). \tag{14}$$

after a change of variables $\rho(t) \to (1/\sqrt{\eta}) e^{-\omega(t)}$. The equations of motion derived from (13) take the form

$$\frac{\rho\ddot{\rho} + (1 - \dot{\rho}^2)}{\rho^3} = 0.$$
 (15)

Comparison to Boruch et al.

Note that $\begin{bmatrix} Boruch & et & al. \\ 2021b \end{bmatrix}$ investigates a setup similar to us, and up to notation (6) also appears in the appendix of that paper. Following $\begin{bmatrix} Boruch & et & al. \\ 2021b \end{bmatrix}$, we introduce a conformal time u, with

$$du = \sqrt{1 + \dot{\rho}(t)^2} dt, \tag{16}$$

such that the line element (3) is transformed into the conformal gauge form

$$ds^{2} = \frac{du^{2} + dx^{2}}{\varrho(u)^{2}}.$$
(17)

with the new $\rho(u(t)) = \rho(t)$. The action now reads $\begin{bmatrix} Boruch \ et \ al. \\ 2021b \end{bmatrix}$

$$I = \frac{2V_x}{\kappa} \int_{u_i[\varrho]}^{u_f[\varrho]} du \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 - \varrho'^2} + \varrho' \arcsin \varrho'}{\varrho^2} \right) \quad . \tag{18}$$

Comparison to Boruch et al.

From this, $\begin{bmatrix} Boruch \ et \ al. \\ 2021b \end{bmatrix}$ obtains eoms

$$\frac{\varrho \varrho'' + 2(1 - \varrho'^2)}{\varrho^3 (1 - \varrho'^2)^2} = 0,$$
(19)

which are *inequivalent* to our eoms, which in terms of ρ and u take the Liouville form:

$$\frac{\varrho \varrho'' + (1 - \varrho'^2)}{\varrho^3} = 0.$$
 (20)

Problem: Integral $\int_{u_i[\varrho]}^{u_f[\varrho]} du$ has ρ -dependent boundary conditions!

 $\rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \text{Boruch et al.} \\ \text{2021b} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} \text{Chandra et al.} \\ \text{2021} \end{bmatrix} \text{ study different variational problems!}$

Kinematic space

Kinematic space approach

Let us analyse the same problem from a kinematic space $\begin{bmatrix} Czech \ et \ al. \\ 2015 \end{bmatrix}$ point of view:

At each point t_f $(z = \rho(t), t, 0)$, there is a $t_2(t)$ geodesic (with endpoints $z = \rho(t)$ at $(t_1(t), t_2(t)))$ tangent to tthe cutoff surface. We find: $t_{1,2}(t) =$ (21) $t + \rho \dot{\rho} \pm \rho \sqrt{\dot{\rho}^2 + 1}.$ t_i $t_1(t)$

 \tilde{z}

Kinematic space approach

Kinematic space (for x = const. slice):

$$ds_{ks}^2 = \frac{-dt_1 \, dt_2}{(t_1 - t_2)^2}.$$
(22)

Consider now the action

$$S_{ks} \sim \int \frac{dx}{\rho} ds_{ks}(t), \tag{23}$$

with the coordinate x in units of the cutoff ρ . This results in

$$S_{ks} \sim \int dt dx \left| \frac{\rho \ddot{\rho} + (1 + \dot{\rho}^2)}{\rho^2 (1 + \dot{\rho}^2)} \right|, \qquad (24)$$

which agrees with the bulk action in the form (6) as long as $\rho \ddot{\rho} \ge -(1 + \dot{\rho}^2)$ (path in kinematic space is timelike!).

For the solutions of (30), (24) vanishes identically!

Generic flow equations

Generic Flow Equations

We use the ADM formalism $\begin{bmatrix} Arnowitt et al. \\ 1962 \end{bmatrix}$ to write the metric as

$$ds^{2} = N^{2}dr^{2} + g_{mn}(x,r)(dx^{m} + N^{m}dr)(dx^{n} + N^{n}dr)$$
(25)

and the Lagrangian in terms of canonical variables

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{g} \left(\pi^{mn} \partial_r g_{mn} - NH - N^m H_m \right), \qquad (26)$$

$$\pi_{mn} = -(K_{mn} - Kg_{mn}) \tag{27}$$

where the lapse and shift functions appear as Lagrange multipliers enforcing

$$H = H^m = 0. (28)$$

Generic Flow Equations

To describe the flow we imagine starting with a surface at constant r and moving the cutoff slightly so that $r \to r + \epsilon(x)$. Then

$$\delta_{\epsilon}S = 2 \int \sqrt{g}\epsilon(x) \left(K^{mn}K_{mn} - K^2\right), \qquad (29)$$

We hence obtain the *flow equations*

$$K_m^n K_n^m - K^2 = 0. (30)$$

What does $K_m^n K_n^m - K^2 = 0$ mean?

Our considerations in $\begin{bmatrix} Chandra et al. \\ 2021 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Chandra et al. \\ 2023 \end{bmatrix}$ led us to study co-dimension one surfaces Q embedded into AdS according to the equation

$$K_m^n K_n^m - K^2 = 0. (30)$$

Notation $\begin{bmatrix} Poisson \\ 2004 \end{bmatrix}$:

- ► m, n, ...: indices for surface coordinates $y^m; \mu, \nu, ...$: indices for ambient space coordinates x^{μ} .
- ► $e_a^{\alpha} = \partial x^{\alpha} / \partial y^a$: projectors to surface tangent space; n^{α} : normal vector
- g_{mn} : induced metric; $G_{\mu\nu}$: bulk metric.
- K_{mn} : extrinsic curvature tensor of the surface; $K = K_n^n$.
- \blacktriangleright \mathcal{R} : ambient space (bulk) curvature; R: induced curvature etc.

Our considerations in $\begin{bmatrix} Chandra et al. \\ 2021 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Chandra et al. \\ 2023 \end{bmatrix}$ led us to study co-dimension one surfaces Q embedded into AdS according to the equation

$$K_m^n K_n^m - K^2 = 0. (30)$$

Brute force ansatz:

- Define embedding z = f(t, x).
- Calculate $K_{ij}[f, f', f'', \dot{f}, ...]$.
- Solve (30) as nonlinear PDE for f
- Problem is only tractable in particularly simple/symmetric setups.
 See [Chandra et al.].

Our considerations in $\begin{bmatrix} Chandra et al. \\ 2021 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Chandra et al. \\ 2023 \end{bmatrix}$ led us to study co-dimension one surfaces Q embedded into AdS according to the equation

$$K_m^n K_n^m - K^2 = 0. (30)$$

Motivation 1:

- ▶ Is there a more elegant approach?
- E.g., (30) is matrix equation for K_m^n .
- Given a solution K_m^n , can we find corresponding embedding?
- ► Similar approach in [Fonda et al.] to holo. EE. in higher curvature theories.

Our considerations in $\begin{bmatrix} Chandra et al. \\ 2021 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Chandra et al. \\ 2023 \end{bmatrix}$ led us to study co-dimension one surfaces Q embedded into AdS according to the equation

$$K_m^n K_n^m - K^2 = 0. (30)$$

Motivation 2:

In vacuum, due to the Hamiltonian constraint

$$0 \equiv H = R - 2\Lambda - \left(K_m^n K_n^m - K^2\right),\tag{31}$$

equation (30) demands that the Ricci curvature R of the induced metric of the surface is constant. Specifically, for d = 3 and AdS-radius $\Lambda = -1$, then $\mathcal{R} = -6$ and $R = -2 \rightarrow$ looking for *constant curvature surfaces*.

Darboux's observation

As pointed out in $\left[^{Barbot\ et\ al.}_{2011}\right]$, the French mathematician Darboux once remarked that:

It can be said that the total curvature has more importance in Geometry; as it depends only on the line element, it comes into play in all questions concerning the deformation of surfaces. In mathematical physics, on the contrary, it is the mean curvature [i.e. extrinsic curvature] which seems to play the dominant role $\begin{bmatrix} Darboux\\ 1889 \end{bmatrix}$.



Jean-Gaston Darboux 1842 – 1917

Darboux's observation

More than 130 years later, Darboux's observation still seems to hold true, at least in AdS/CFT!

► The Ryu-Takayanagi formula

 $\begin{bmatrix} \text{Ryu and Takayanagi} \\ 2006 \end{bmatrix}$ demonstrates the role of surfaces with *constant (vanishing) extrinsic curvature* in the holographic dictionary.

"Darboux's question" in AdS/CFT: Do surfaces of constant intrinsic curvature have a role in AdS/CFT, and if yes, which one?



Jean-Gaston Darboux 1842 – 1917

Equations of motion:

$$K_m^n K_n^m - K^2 = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow$$

$$R = 2\Lambda \text{ (in vacuum)}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow$$

$$\det K_{mn} = 0 \text{ (in } d_{induced} = 2)$$
(30)
(32)
(32)
(33)

Ansatz:

$$K_{mn} \equiv m_m m_n k \tag{34}$$

(generic in $d_{induced} = 2$, subset of solutions in $d_{induced} > 2$)

Codazzi equations $\begin{bmatrix} Poisson \\ 2004 \end{bmatrix}$:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}e^{\alpha}_{a}e^{\beta}_{b}e^{\gamma}_{c}e^{\delta}_{d} = R_{abcd} \pm \left(K_{ad}K_{bc} - K_{ac}K_{bd}\right) \qquad (35)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu\beta\gamma\delta}n^{\mu}e_{b}^{\beta}e_{c}^{\gamma}e_{d}^{\delta} = K_{bc|d} - K_{bd|c}$$

$$\tag{36}$$

$$\left(\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{R}G_{\alpha\beta}\right)n^{\beta}e_{a}^{\alpha} = K_{a|b}^{b} - K_{,a}$$
(37)

 $(\mathcal{R} \sim \text{ambient}; R \sim \text{induced geometry}; e_a^{\alpha} \sim \text{projectors}; n^{\beta} \sim \text{normal})$

Codazzi equations $\begin{bmatrix} Poisson \\ 2004 \end{bmatrix}$, using ansatz $K_{mn} \equiv m_m m_n k$:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}e^{\alpha}_{a}e^{\beta}_{b}e^{\gamma}_{c}e^{\delta}_{d} = R_{abcd} \pm (K_{ad}K_{bc} - K_{ac}K_{bd})$$
(35)

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}e^{\alpha}_{a}e^{\beta}_{b}e^{\gamma}_{c}e^{\delta}_{d} = R_{abcd} \pm 0 \tag{38}$$

 $(\mathcal{R} \sim \text{ambient}; R \sim \text{induced geometry}; e_a^{\alpha} \sim \text{projectors}; n^{\beta} \sim \text{normal})$

Hence if the ambient space is (locally) maximally symmetric

$$\mathcal{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \frac{\mathcal{R}}{d(d-1)} \left(G_{\alpha\gamma}G_{\beta\delta} - G_{\alpha\delta}G_{\beta\gamma} \right), \tag{39}$$

then so is the induced metric of the surface with $R = \frac{d-2}{d}\mathcal{R}$.

Furthermore, $G_{\alpha\beta}n^{\beta}e_{a}^{\alpha} = 0$ (ambient space is locally AdS), and (setting $k = \pm 1, m_{n}$ unnormalised) we find:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu\beta\gamma\delta}n^{\mu}e^{\beta}_{b}e^{\gamma}_{c}e^{\delta}_{d} = K_{bc|d} - K_{bd|c}$$
(36)

$$\Rightarrow 0 = m_c \nabla_d m_b + m_b \nabla_d m_c - m_d \nabla_c m_b - m_b \nabla_c m_d.$$
(40)

Now, set d = 3 and introduce $l^a m_a = 0$, $l^a l_a = const$. Thus:

$$0 = m_d l^c l^b \nabla_c m_b \Rightarrow 0 = l^c l^b \nabla_c m_b.$$
(41)

$$l^{b}m_{b} = 0 \Rightarrow 0 = l^{c}\nabla_{c}(l^{b}m_{b}) = \underbrace{(l^{c}\nabla_{c}l^{b})}_{=0}m_{b} + \underbrace{l^{c}l^{b}\nabla_{c}m_{b}}_{=0}.$$
 (42)

Also, $(l^c \nabla_c l^b)$ $l_b \propto l^c \nabla_c l^b l_b = 0$ and hence $l^c \nabla_c l^b = 0$ – geodesic equation.

Recall:

am

$$l^a m_a = 0 \Rightarrow K_{ab} l^a l^b = 0 \tag{43}$$

The relation between the covariant derivative in the ambient space $X_{;\beta}$ and the covariant derivative in the induced metric $X_{|b}$ gives $\begin{bmatrix} \text{Poisson} \\ 2004 \end{bmatrix}$

$$l^{\alpha}_{;\beta}e^{\beta}_{b} = l^{a}_{|b}e^{\alpha}_{a} \pm l^{a}K_{ab}n^{\alpha}.$$
(44)

Contracting (44) with l^b , we find

$$\underbrace{l^{\beta}l^{\alpha}_{;\beta}}_{\text{abient space geodesic eq.}} = \underbrace{l^{b}l^{a}_{|b}}_{\text{induced metric geodesic eq.}} e^{\alpha}_{a} \pm l^{b}l^{a}K_{ab}n^{\alpha}.$$
(45)

The surface is foliated by curves which are geodesics in the ambient space.

The surface with R = -2 is foliated by curves which are geodesics in the ambient space (with $\mathcal{R} = -6$).

Analogue statement in \mathbb{R}^3 : All developable surfaces (i.e. R = 0) are ruled surfaces (i.e. foliated by straight lines) $\begin{bmatrix} \text{Krivoshapko and Ivanov} \\ 2015 \end{bmatrix}$, however the converse is not true.



Example 1: Euclidean AdS₃, Poincaré-patch Background:

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{z^{2}} \left(dt^{2} + dx^{2} + dz^{2} \right)$$
(46)

Solution for strip of varying width:

$$z(t,x) = \sqrt{r(t)^2 - x^2},$$
(47)

Genralizes case of constant width studied in $\begin{bmatrix} Chandra et al. \\ 2021 \end{bmatrix}$.



Example 2: Uniqueness?

Background:

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{z^{2}} \left(dt^{2} + dx^{2} + dz^{2} \right)$$
(48)

For elliptic boundary region, there are two hypersurfaces satisfying (30) with the same boundary condition at z = 0. For one we find K < 0 everywhere, while for the other one we find K > 0 everywhere.



Example 3: Lorentzian (global) AdS₃, specelike surfaces Background:

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{\cos(\theta)^{2}} \left(-dt^{2} + d\theta^{2} + \sin(\theta)^{2} d\phi^{2} \right),$$
(49)

Solution:

$$t(\phi,\theta) = t_{bdy} \left[\arctan\left(\sqrt{\csc^2(\theta)\sec^2(\phi) - 1}\right) \right]$$
(50)

where $t_{bdy}[\phi]$ is the boundary condition at the asymptotic boundary $\theta = \pi/2$ which we assume to be symmetric under $\phi \to -\phi$.



Example 4: "Lemons"

Let's now search for timelike surfaces in Lorentzian AdS.

Timelike oscillating geodesic:

$$t(\theta) = \pm \arctan\left(\frac{E\sin(\theta)}{\sqrt{-1 + E^2\cos(\theta)^2}}\right), \quad \phi = const.$$
 (51)

where the "energy" E > 1 of the geodesic is related to its turning point θ_{max} by $\theta_{max} = \arccos 1/E$. we can construct surfaces of the form

$$t(\theta, \phi) = \pm \arctan\left(\frac{E(\phi)\sin(\theta)}{\sqrt{-1 + E(\phi)^2\cos(\theta)^2}}\right) + const.$$
 (52)

where we have promoted E to a $\phi\text{-dependent}$ parameter.

K diverges at $\theta = 0$ where the surfaces will have a conical singularity.



From top-left to bottom-right: $E(\phi) = \sqrt{2}$, $E(\phi) = 2\sin(2\phi) + \cos(4\phi) + 5$, $E(\phi) = 5\sin^2\left(\frac{\phi}{4}\right) + \sqrt{2}$, $E(\phi) = \tan^4\left(\frac{\phi}{2}\right) + \sqrt{2}$, $E \to \infty$, and $E(\phi) = \left(\frac{\cos(\phi)}{\sin(\phi)}\right)^2 + 2$ for $0 < \phi < \pi$, $E(\phi) = -i\left(\left(\frac{\cos(\phi)}{\sin(\phi)}\right)^2 + 2\right)$ for $\pi < \phi < 2\pi$.

Lemons, Observations:

- ► All lemons have "height" $\Delta t = \pi$.
- Action inside finite Lemons is $I_{EH} + I_{GHY} = 4\pi^2$, independent of *E* by construction: These surfaces bound regions of the bulk whose action does not change under infinitesimal deformations of their boundary.
- Imaginary values of $E(\phi)$ lead to spacelike surfaces that reach the boundary.
- t(θ, φ) = θ, for E → ∞; surface becomes null boundary of WDW patch.







Lemons, Questions/Speculations:

- All lemons have "height" Δt = π.
 → Is there a specific TT deformation that describes a theory living on this surface?
- Action inside finite Lemons is $I_{EH} + I_{GHY} = 4\pi^2$, independent of *E*.
- Imaginary values of E(φ) lead to spacelike surfaces that reach the boundary.

 \rightarrow Complexify coordinates?

 t(θ, φ) = θ, for E → ∞; surface becomes null boundary of WDW patch.
 → Value of action in this limit?
 → E ≫ 1 as regularisation of WdW-patch?
 → WdW patch arises naturally, without
 "infinite tension" limit of [Boruch et al.]







Kinematic space connection?

The solutions to the flow equation

$$K_m^n K_n^m - K^2 = 0. (30)$$

are foliated by geodesics of the ambient space.

That means: these surfaces are described by *curves in the space of geodesics* (~kinematic space $\begin{bmatrix} Czech et al. \\ 2015 \end{bmatrix}$, generalised in $\begin{bmatrix} Czech et al. \\ 2016 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Czech et al. \\ 2020 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Chagnet et al. \\ 2022 \end{bmatrix}$).

 \Rightarrow Can we phrase our results from a kinematic space perspective?



Summary

Summary and outlook

- ► Groundwork laid in $\begin{bmatrix} Caputa \text{ et al.} \\ 2017a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Caputa \text{ et al.} \\ 2017b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Boruch \text{ et al.} \\ 2021b \end{bmatrix}$ with *path integral optimization*.
- ▶ In simple Euclidean case, extremising action under a dynamic cutoff surface reproduces equal time slice/CV proposal [Chandra et al.].
- ▶ Generalisation to Lorentzian case is non-trivial, with many challenges (e.g. boundedness of action) [^{Chandra et al.}].
- ► Universal flow equation derived in [^{Chandra et al.}] can be solved quite generally → suggests konnection to kinematic space.
- See also next talk by Andrew! Q

Thank you very much for your attention

Back-up slides...

Gauss-Bonnet theorem

Implications of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem

Consider the Gauss-Bonnet theorem $\begin{bmatrix} Troyanov\\ 1991 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\int_{Q} \frac{R}{2} dV + \int_{\partial Q} k_g ds + \sum_{\text{corners } c} \alpha_c + \sum_{\text{conical sing. } s} \beta_s = 2\pi\chi, \quad (53)$$

As we are searching for 2d surfaces of constant scalar curvature, we find

$$\int_{Q} \frac{R}{2} dV = \frac{R}{2} V < 0 \tag{54}$$

So for $\chi \ge 0$ (includes all Lorentzian cases), surface either needs to reach boundary *or* have conical singularities!

Conical singularities in the Gauss-Bonnet formula

In order to derive the contribution of conical singularities, we start with

$$\int_{Q} \frac{R}{2} dV + \int_{\partial Q} k_{g} ds + \sum_{\text{(old) corners } c} \alpha_{c} + X_{\text{conical sing.}} = 2\pi\chi.$$
(55)

We resolve the singularity by introducing a cut:

$$\int_{Q} \frac{R}{2} dV + \int_{\partial Q} k_{g} ds + \sum_{\text{(old) corners } c} \alpha_{c} + \sum_{\text{new corners } c} \alpha_{c} = 2\pi(\chi - 1). \quad (56)$$

Comparing (55) and (56), we find

$$X_{\text{conical sing.}} = \sum_{\text{new corners } c} \alpha_c + 2\pi = \alpha_{c1} + \alpha_{c2} + 2\pi = \delta.$$
(57)

with $\alpha_{c1} = -\pi$. $\alpha_{c2} = -(\pi - \delta)$, and deficit angle δ .



Left: Introducing a cut to derive contribution from conical singularities in Euclidean case.

Right: Lorentzian deficit angle for lemon surface.

Lorentzian case

For the Lorentzian Gauss-Bonnet theorem, see e.g. $\begin{bmatrix} Helzer\\ 1974 \end{bmatrix}$. One has to define the (always real valued) oriented *Lorentzian angle* δ between two future pointing normalised timelike vectors X and Y to satisfy

$$\cosh(\delta) = -X \cdot Y. \tag{58}$$

The Lorentzian Gauss-Bonnet-theorem then takes the form

$$\int_{Q} \frac{R}{2} dV + \int_{\partial Q} k_{g} ds + \sum_{\text{corners } c} \alpha_{c} + \sum_{\text{conical sing. } s} \beta_{s} = 0, \quad (59)$$

Firstly, the right hand side automatically vanishes ($\chi \equiv 0$), secondly, traversing a closed timelike geodesic polygon in flat space yields the total Lorentzian angle

$$\alpha_{12} + \alpha_{23} + \dots + \alpha_{n1} = 0. \tag{60}$$

Application to Lemons

Assume E indep. of ϕ . Induced metric:

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{\cos(\theta_{2})^{2}} \left(-dt_{2}^{2} + d\theta_{2}^{2} \right), \tag{61}$$

with

$$4\operatorname{arctanh}\left(\tan\left(\frac{\theta_{max,2}}{2}\right)\right) = 2\pi \tan(\theta_{max,3}).$$
(62)

Hence:

$$\frac{RV}{2} = -4\pi \tan(\theta_{max,3}) = -4\pi \sqrt{E^2 - 1}.$$
(63)

Application to Lemons

$$\frac{RV}{2} = -4\pi \tan(\theta_{max,3}) = -4\pi \sqrt{E^2 - 1}.$$
(63)

Tangent vectors of boundary geodesics:

$$X_{\pm}^{m} = \begin{pmatrix} X_{\pm}^{t_{2}} \\ X_{\pm}^{\theta_{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E_{2} \\ \pm \sqrt{E_{2}^{2} - 1} \end{pmatrix}$$
(64)

Hence:

$$\delta_{\text{future conical sing.}} = \operatorname{arccosh}\left(-X_{+} \cdot X_{-}\right) = 2\pi \tan(\theta_{max,3})$$
 (65)

$$=\delta_{\text{past conical sing.}}$$
 (66)

Gaus-Bonnet theorem

$$\frac{RV}{2} + \delta_{\text{past conical sing.}} + \delta_{\text{future conical sing.}} = 0.$$
(67)

is satisfied.

Lemons in higher dimensions

"Lemons" in higher dimensions

Let's consider global Lorentzian AdS₄,

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{\cos(\theta)^{2}} \left(-dt^{2} + d\theta^{2} + \sin(\theta)^{2} d\psi^{2} + \sin(\theta)^{2} \sin(\psi)^{2} d\phi^{2} \right), \qquad (68)$$

(boundary at $\theta = \pi/2$) and assume rotational symmetry. Ansatz:

$$t(\theta, \psi, \phi) = f(\theta). \tag{69}$$

Equation (30) then yields the ODE

$$4\cot(\theta)f'(\theta)f''(\theta) - 2\left(\csc^{2}(\theta) + 2\right)f'(\theta)^{2}\left(f'(\theta)^{2} - 1\right) = 0, \quad (70)$$

$$\Rightarrow f'(\theta) = \pm \frac{\sqrt{\sin(2\theta)\cot(\theta)}}{\sqrt{C + \sin(2\theta)\cot^2(\theta)}}$$
(71)



- ▶ $C = 0 \Rightarrow f' = 1 \Rightarrow$ null boundary of the WDW patch.
- ▶ $C > 0 \Rightarrow f' \le 1 \Rightarrow$ spacelike surface reaching to the boundary.
- ► $C < 0 \Rightarrow f' \ge 1 \Rightarrow$ Lemon like surface which turns around at finite $\theta_{max} \le \pi/2$.



Differences and similarities to d = 3:

- $\blacktriangleright K_{mn} \neq m_m m_n k$
- ▶ f'(0) = 1 for any *C*, hence induced metric ($\neq AdS_3$) is degenerate at tip; curvature singularity in Kretschmann scalar.
- ▶ "Height" (time-span) of $AdS_{d\geq 4}$ lemons depends on C (~ turning point).

References

- R. Arnowitt, S. Deser, and W. Misner. The dynamics of General Relativity. In L. Witten, editor, Gravitation: an introduction to current research, chapter 7, pages 227-265. Wiley, New York, 1962. doi: 10.1007/s10714-008-0661-1.
- T. Barbot, F. Béguin, and A. Zeghib. Prescribing Gauss curvature of surfaces in 3-dimensional spacetimes Application to the Minkowski problem in the Minkowski space. Annales de l'Institut Fourier, 61(2):511-591, 2011. doi: 10.5802/aif.2622. URL https://aif.centre-mersenme.org/articles/10.5802/aif.2622/.
- J. Boruch, P. Caputa, D. Ge, and T. Takayanagi. Holographic path-integral optimization. JHEP, 07: 016, 2021a. doi: 10.1007/JHEP07(2021)016.
- J. Boruch, P. Caputa, and T. Takayanagi. Path-Integral Optimization from Hartle-Hawking Wave Function. Phys. Rev. D, 103(4):046017, 2021b. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.103.046017.
- H. A. Camargo, P. Caputa, and P. Nandy. Q-curvature and Path Integral Complexity. 1 2022.
- P. Caputa, N. Kundu, M. Miyaji, T. Takayanagi, and K. Watanabe. Anti-de Sitter Space from Optimization of Path Integrals in Conformal Field Theories. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 119(7):071602, 2017a. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.071602.
- P. Caputa, N. Kundu, M. Miyaji, T. Takayanagi, and K. Watanabe. Liouville Action as Path-Integral Complexity: From Continuous Tensor Networks to AdS/CFT. *JHEP*, 11:097, 2017b. doi: 10.1007/JHEP11(2017)097.
- P. Caputa, D. Das, and S. R. Das. Path integral complexity and Kasner singularities. JHEP, 01:150, 2022. doi: 10.1007/JHEP01(2022)150.
- N. Chagnet, S. Chapman, J. de Boer, and C. Zukowski. Complexity for Conformal Field Theories in General Dimensions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 128(5):051601, 2022. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.128.051601.
- A. R. Chandra, J. de Boer, M. Flory, M. P. Heller, S. Hoertner, and A. Rolph. Spacetime as a quantum circuit. JHEP, 04:207, 2021. doi: 10.1007/JHEP04(2021)207.
- A. R. Chandra, J. de Boer, M. Flory, M. P. Heller, S. Hörtner, and A. Rolph. Cost of holographic path integrals. SciPost Phys., 14:061, 2023. doi: 10.21468/SciPostPhys.14.4.061.
- B. Czech, L. Lamprou, S. McCandlish, and J. Sully. Integral Geometry and Holography. JHEP, 10: 175, 2015. doi: 10.1007/JHEP10(2015)175.

- B. Czech, L. Lamprou, S. McCandlish, B. Mosk, and J. Sully. A Stereoscopic Look into the Bulk. JHEP, 07:129, 2016. doi: 10.1007/JHEP07(2016)129.
- B. Czech, Y. D. Olivas, and Z.-z. Wang. Holographic integral geometry with time dependence. JHEP, 12:063, 2020. doi: 10.1007/JHEP12(2020)063.
- G. Darboux. Leçons sur la theorie générale des surfaces et les applications géométriques du calcul infinitésimal: les congruences et les équations lineaires aux dérivées partielles, des lignes tracées sur les surfaces. Number 2 in Cours de géométrie de la Faculté des Sciences. Gauthier Villars, 1889. URL https://books.google.es/books?id=dzteAAAAcAAJ.
- P. Fonda, V. Jejjala, and A. Veliz-Osorio. On the Shape of Things: From holography to elastica. Annals Phys., 385:358-398, 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.aop.2017.08.011.
- J. Hartle and R. Sorkin. Boundary Terms in the Action for the Regge Calculus. Gen. Rel. Grav., 13: 541-549, 1981. doi: 10.1007/BF00757240.
- G. Hayward. Gravitational action for space-times with nonsmooth boundaries. Phys. Rev. D, 47: 3275–3280, 1993. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.47.3275.
- G. Helzer. A relativistic version of the Gauss-Bonnet formula. Journal of Differential Geometry, 9(4):507 - 512, 1974. doi: 10.4310/jdg/1214432546. URL https://doi.org/10.4310/jdg/1214432546.
- S. Krivoshapko and V. Ivanov. Encyclopedia of Analytical Surfaces. Springer International Publishing, 2015. ISBN 9783319117737. URL https://books.google.de/books?id=cXTdBgAAQBAJ.
- E. Poisson. A Relativist's Toolkit: The Mathematics of Black-Hole Mechanics. Cambridge University Press, 2004. ISBN 9781139451994. URL https://books.google.es/books?id=bk2XEgz_ML4C.
- S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi. Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from AdS/CFT. Phys. Rev. Lett., 96:181602, 2006. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.181602.
- M. Troyanov. Prescribing curvature on compact surfaces with conical singularities. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 324(2):793-821, 1991. ISSN 00029947. URL http://www.jstor.org/stable/2001742.