Recent ATLAS results from small collision systems

Sruthy Jyothi Das (University of Colorado Boulder) for the ATLAS Collaboration



Multiple Partonic Interactions at the LHC





A brief history of flow in heavy-ion collisions

Early results from RHIC program indicated that head on **Au+Au** collisions at 200 GeV behave as a <u>nearly perfect fluid</u>.

Subsequent measurements at **Pb+Pb** collisions at LHC shows similar *fluid nature*.



Visualization of the different stages of heavy-ion collisions in a hybrid approach based on *hydrodynamics and hadronic transport* for the initial and final stages.

Flow in the Quark Gluon Plasma (QGP)

Quantifying initial and final state



A tiny drop of QGP is created in heavy-ion collisions and it expands like a fluid.



Spatial anisotropy in the initial state energy density translates into momentum anisotropy in the final state $\rightarrow v_2$ (*Flow*) Initial state fluctuations $\rightarrow v_3, v_4 \dots$

Can we observe flow-like signatures in smaller systems like pp?



3

Can we observe flow in small systems like pp, pPb?

рр

pPb

Pb+Pb



Theory: <u>Physics Letters B 774 (2017) 351–356</u> ATLAS: <u>Physical Review C 90, 044906 (2014)</u>

Hydrodynamic models can successfully make predictions for v_2 , v_3 , v_4 in systems of wide size ranges: $\rho\rho$, ρ Pb and Pb+Pb!

Pseudorapidity (η **) dependent geometry**

Forward (backward) moving nucleons produce particles preferably in the forward (backward) direction, lead to an event- by-event torqued fireball.

<u>arXiv:1011.3354</u> Peter Bozek, Wojciech Broniowski, Joao Moreira

 $V_n(\eta) = v_n(\eta)e^{in\Psi_n(\eta)}$ Asymmetry of a flow magnitude Torque/twist of an event plane $V_n(\eta) = v_n(\eta) = v_n(\eta_2)$ $\Psi_n(\eta_1) \neq \Psi_n(\eta_2)$

In different η slices, almond geometry (v_n) will be different.

Can we constrain the initial deposition of energy in both the transverse and *longitudinal* directions?

Pseudorapidity (η **) dependent geometry - AMPT**



http://cdsweb.cern.ch/record/2813842/files/nagle_sqm_atlas_decorrelations_2022.pdf



AMPT has string models

Xiang-Yu Wu, Long-Gang Pang, Guang-You Qin, and X-N Wang Phys. Rev. C **98**, 024913

 η -dependent geometry from strings in AMPT. AMPT illustrations in large system (Pb+Pb) and small system (pp) are shown.

In p+p AMPT produces a simple geometry with two strings spanning a large rapidity.

Strings span the acceptance of the ATLAS inner detector.

No variation in transverse geometry in different longitudinal slices! No longitudinal <u>decorrelation/torque.</u>

How to quantify longitudinal decorrelation?



Systems analyzedpp 13 TeVpp 5.02 TeVXe+Xe 5.44 TeV

- 1. Construct two-particle correlation between inner detector tracks ($|\eta| < 2.5$) and $(4.0 < \eta < 4.9)$
- 2. Measure Fourier moments ($v_{n,n}$) and perform non-flow subtraction as a function of η^a .
- 3. Calculation of ratio of Fourier moments, r_n

$$\mathbf{r}_{\mathbf{n}}(|\eta^{\mathbf{a}}|) = \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}}(-|\eta^{\mathbf{a}}|)}{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}}(|\eta^{\mathbf{a}}|)}$$

4. Then slope, F_n measures the strength of longitudinal decorrelation.

$$\mathbf{r}_{\mathrm{n}}(|\eta^{\mathrm{a}}|) = 1 - 2\mathbf{F}_{\mathrm{n}}|\eta^{\mathrm{a}}|$$

Does ATLAS measure decorrelation?



Raw F_2 : Combination of decorrelation and η^a -dependent non-flow Template fit $F_2 \& d_1$ subtraction F_2 : Decorrelation after non-flow effects are subtracted.

Does ATLAS measure decorrelation?

<u>CONF-HION-2020-04</u>

 $r_2(|\eta^a|) = 1 - 2F_2|\eta^a|$



Flow in Ultraperipheral Collisions

Theory (3+1D framework with hydrodynamics): arXiv:2203.06094 Wenbin Zhao, Chun Shen, Bjorn Schenke claims that elliptic flow hierarchy between γ^*Pb and pPb is dominated by longitudinal flow decorrelations.



AMPT illustrations to show longitudinal decorrelation







ATLAS UPC data: <u>Physical Review C 104, 014903 (2021)</u> ATLAS *p*Pb data:

Physical Review C 96, 024908 (2017)

γ*Pb is like ρPb at 894 GeV, but shifted in rapidity Much larger longitudinal decorrelation!

Why are jet quenching signatures in small system interesting?

Jet quenching = energy loss of high energy partons when traversing the Quark-Gluon Plasma

Jet production Highly energetic iet (leading jet) Quark-gluon plasma Low energy jet Strong interactions with the medium (subleading jet) ∾ 0.25 *p*+Pb √s_{NN} = 8.16 TeV, 165 nb⁻¹ ATLAS 1.5 × p+Pb MBT - 1.5 × *p*+Pb *p*^{jet}>100 GeV 0.2 0-5% central 0.15 0.1 0.05 Pb+Pb $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ 20-30% central 5×10 3 4 5 6 10 20 30 10^{2} 2 *p*₊^A [GeV]

PHYSICAL REVIEW C 90, 044906 (2014)

At low $p_{\rm T}$, v_2 is interpreted as flow. At high $p_{\rm T}$, v_2 in *p*Pb could result from jet-quenching.

Could we look for small quenching effects in pPb?

Jet quenching in the QGP

Jet quenching observable:





arXiv:1805.05635

Previous ATLAS measurements shows that jet quenching is not observed in pPb.

Jet quenching confirmed in large system Pb+Pb!

What about small systems like pPb?



Phys. Lett. B 748 (2015) 392-413

Can we set precision limits on jet quenching in small collision system?

Constraining jet quenching in small system HION-2021-17

<u>Observable</u>

Yields of charged hadrons with $p_T > 0.5 \text{ GeV}$ *near and opposite* to jets with $p_T^{\text{jet}} > 30 \text{ or } 60 \text{ GeV}$.

Systems analyzed

pp 5.02 TeV *p*Pb 5.02 TeV



• Ratios of these yields between pPb and pp collisions, I_{pPb} .

$$I_{pPb} = \frac{Y_{pPb}(\text{per jet})}{Y_{pp}(\text{per jet})}$$





Top row: I_{pPb} of charged particles on the away-side of jets with p_T^{jet} > 60 GeV Bottom row: I_{pPb} of charged particles on the near-side of jets with p_T^{jet} > 60 GeV

Low $p_{\rm T}$: 0.5 - 4 GeV observations



sidePattern from 0.5 GeV to 4 GeV is *qualitatively similar*ons*between the away- and near-side*.

Enhancement at modest $p_T \rightarrow$ "Cronin effect" R_{pPb} shows similar pattern, this could be referred to as Cronin effect.



Physics Letters B 763 (2016) 313-336 15

High $p_{\rm T}$ > 4 GeV observations



Theory: <u>JHEP 10 (2018) 134</u>

Angantyr is a Monte Carlo that extends Pythia from pp to pA and AA. Angantyr has no jet quenching modeling and is compatible with the data within uncertainties.

Results provide quantitative constraints on the model.





Interesting results published by ATLAS on small systems in heavy-ion collisions.

- Small systems test the limit of Quark-Gluon Plasma formation.
 Longitudinal decorrelation in collision systems is key to learn 3D energy
 - depositions along with understanding of non-flow contributions.
- \star Effects of jet quenching in $p{
 m Pb}$ collisions constrained to be very small.

Thank you!



Longitudinal flow decorrelation in pp



ATLAS CONF Note ATLAS-CONF-2022-020 3rd April 2022



Measurements of longitudinal flow decorrelations in 5.02 TeV and 13 TeV *pp* collisions and 5.44 TeV Xe+Xe collisions with the ATLAS detector

The ATLAS Collaboration

This note presents measurements of longitudinal flow decorrelations in 5.02 TeV and 13 TeV pp collisions and 5.44 TeV Xe+Xe collisions with the ATLAS detector. The measurements are performed using the two-particle correlation method with charged-particle tracks within $|\eta| < 2.5$ and clusters within $4.0 < |\eta| < 4.9$. Due to the larger influence of non-flow effects in small collision systems, template-based subtraction procedures are developed and used in the measurement. The role of these effects is investigated in large systems such as 5.44 TeV Xe+Xe collisions. Flow decorrelations are characterized in terms of the ratio of the correlation coefficients derived from correlations with a large pseudorapidity gap to those with a small pseudorapidity gap, r_n , where n is the flow harmonic moment. The results, quantified as the slope of r_2 as a function of pseudorapidity gap, are reported as a function of charged-particle multiplicity for the pp and Xe+Xe collision systems.

© 2022 CERN for the benefit of the ATLAS Collaboration. Reproduction of this article or parts of it is allowed as specified in the CC-BY-4.0 license.



<u>CONF-HION-2020-04</u>

Constraints on jet quenching in pPb

Strong constraints on the lack of jet quenching in centrality-dependent p+Pb collisions at 5.02 TeV from ATLAS



No jet quenching in central pPb collisions, now with precision level uncertainties

Jet Quenching in PbPb collisions Clear Z-h signal





<u>arXiv:2206.01138</u> <u>HION-2021-17</u>